

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,990	07/07/2003	Kenji Ilda	010194A	1625
23850	590 06/05/2006		EXAM	INER
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			NORRIS, JEREMY C	
SUITE 1000	1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		2841	

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/612,990	IIDA, KENJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeremy C. Norris	2841				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ENED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	anuary 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 07 July 2003 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/789,771</u> .						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment(s)		1				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

Art Unit: 2841

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 18 October 2005 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,465,742 (hereafter Hiraoka).

Examiner notes the limitation that the conductive sections be "regulated by abraiding" is a process limitation in a device claim and is thus only considered to the extent that said process impacts the structure. Moreover, it is well settled that the presence of process limitations in product claims, which product does not otherwise

Application/Control Number: 10/612,990

Art Unit: 2841

distinguish over the prior art, cannot impart patentability to that product. (*In re Thorpe,* 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985))

Hiraoka discloses, referring to figure 4A, a multilayer circuit board comprising: a plurality of cable layers (6a,b) each of which includes electric conductive sections (7); a plurality of first insulating layers (8), each of which encloses said electric conductive sections in each cable layer and fills spaces between said electric conductive section sections, each of said electric conductive sections of each of said cable layers including a cable pattern and a land pad (col. 37, lines 15-25) and post vias (10) electrically connecting said electric conductive sections in one cable layer to those in another cable layer, wherein height of said electric conductive sections in each cable layer are regulated to be equal to that of said first insulating layer enclosing those electric conductive sections (see col. 21, lines 50-68) and a second insulating layer (8) which is formed to enclose said post vias, wherein height of said post vias are regulated to be equal to that of said second insulating layer (see col. 21, lines 50-68) [claim 1].

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 18 October 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants allege that Hiraoka does not disclose cable layers having electric conductive sections "each of the electric conductive section including a cable pattern and a land pad". However, as noted above, Hiraoka (col. 37, lines 15-25) specifically states "a wiring sheet in which a wiring consisting of a two dimension pattern (cable pattern) having a wiring width of 20  $\mu$ m and a land (land pad) diameter of 50  $\mu$ m". Thus, it is the position of the Examiner that Hiraoka does indeed disclose each and

Art Unit: 2841

every limitation of the claimed invention. Hence, the rejection of the claim is proper and Applicant's traversal of the rejection on this ground is deemed unsuccessful.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following documents disclose wiring layers where the conductive patterns and the insulation patterns are of substantially equal thicknesses:

US 6,074,728 Ryu,

US 6,274,821 B1 Echigo et al..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 571-272-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,990

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**JCSN** 

Paremy home J vereny c. Nornis Patent Examiner Technology Center 2000

Page 5